Hintlesham and Chattisham C of E Primary School Pupil premium strategy statement 2023 - 2024

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium (and recovery premium for the 2023 to 2024 academic year) funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

School overview

| Detail | Data |
|--|---|
| School name | Hintlesham and Chattisham C of E Primary School |
| Number of pupils in school | 74 |
| Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils | 15% |
| Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers (3 year plans are recommended) | 2021/2022 to 2024/2025 |
| Date this statement was published | July 2023 |
| Date on which it will be reviewed | July 2024 |
| Statement authorised by | Deborah Jackson Headteacher |
| Pupil premium lead | Deborah Jackson Headteacher |
| Governor / Trustee lead | Deborah Bennett, lead for disadvantaged pupils |

Funding overview

| Detail | Amount |
|--|------------|
| Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year | £18,346.40 |
| Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year | £2,000 |
| School Led tutoring Funding | £675 |
| Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable) | £0 |
| Total budget for this academic year | £21,021.40 |

| If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this funding, state the amount available to your school this | |
|---|--|
| academic year | |

Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

Statement of intent

Our intention is that all pupils, irrespective of their background or the challenges they face, make good progress and achieve high attainment across all subject areas. The focus of our pupil premium strategy is to support disadvantaged pupils to achieve that goal, including progress for those who are already high attainers.

We will consider the challenges faced by vulnerable pupils, such as those who have a social worker and young carers. The activity we have outlined in this statement is also intended to support their needs, regardless of whether they are disadvantaged or not.

High-quality teaching is at the heart of our approach, with a focus on areas in which disadvantaged pupils require the most support. This is proven to have the greatest impact on closing the disadvantage attainment gap and at the same time will benefit the non-disadvantaged pupils in our school. Implicit in the intended outcomes detailed below, is the intention that non-disadvantaged pupils' attainment will be sustained and improved alongside progress for their disadvantaged peers.

Our strategy is also integral to wider school plans for education recovery, notably in its targeted support through the National Tutoring Programme for pupils whose education has been worst affected, including non-disadvantaged pupils.

Our approach will be responsive to common challenges and individual needs, rooted in robust assessment, not assumptions about the impact of disadvantage. The approaches we have adopted complement each other to help pupils excel. To ensure they are effective we will:

- ensure disadvantaged pupils are challenged in the work that they're set
- act early to intervene at the point need is identified
- adopt a whole school approach in which all staff take responsibility for disadvantaged pupils' outcomes and raise expectations of what they can achieve

Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

| Challenge number | Detail of challenge |
|------------------|--|
| 1 | Assessments, observations, and discussions with pupils suggest disadvantaged pupils generally have greater difficulties with phonics and |

| | reading than their peers. This negatively impacts their development as readers. |
|---|---|
| 2 | Internal assessments and observations indicate that writing attainment among disadvantaged pupils is significantly below that of non-disadvantaged pupils. |
| 3 | Internal and external (where available) assessments indicate that maths attainment among disadvantaged pupils is significantly below that of non-disadvantaged pupils. |
| | On entry to Reception class in the last 3 years, between 75 - 100% of our disadvantaged pupils arrive below age-related expectations. |
| 4 | Our assessments and observations indicate that the education and well- being of many of our disadvantaged pupils have been impacted by par- tial school closures to a greater extent than for other pupils. These find- ings are supported by national studies. |
| | This has resulted in significant knowledge gaps leading to pupils falling further behind age-related expectations, especially in writing. |
| 5 | Our assessments, observations and discussions with pupils and families have identified social and emotional issues for many pupils, notably due to anxiety. These challenges particularly affect disadvantaged pupils, including their attainment. |
| | Teacher referrals for support markedly increased during the pandemic. This need for emotional support has continued at approximately the same level post pandemic. These have been with either individual or family social and emotional needs. A further lunchtime wellbeing group for drop ins has also been established. |

Intended outcomes

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

| Intended outcome | Success criteria |
|---|--|
| Improved phonics attainment among disadvantaged pupils. | Year 2 phonics screening 2021 shows that more than 95% of disadvantaged pupils met the expected standard. Year 1 phonics screening 2022 show that more than 90% of disadvantaged pupils met the expected standard. |
| Improved reading attainment among disadvantaged pupils. | KS2 reading outcomes in 2024/25 show that more than 75% of disadvantaged pupils met the expected standard. |
| Improved writing attainment among disadvantaged pupils. | KS2 writing outcomes in 2024/25 show that more than 70% of disadvantaged pupils met the expected standard. |

| Improved maths attainment for disadvantaged pupils at the end of KS2. | KS2 maths outcomes in 2024/25 show that more than 79% of disadvantaged pupils met the expected standard. |
|--|---|
| To achieve and sustain improved wellbeing for all pupils in our school, particularly our disadvantaged pupils. | Sustained high levels of wellbeing from 2024/25 demonstrated by: • qualitative data from student voice, student and parent surveys and teacher observations • a significant reduction in anxiety type behaviours • a significant increase in participation in enrichment activities, particularly among disadvantaged pupils |

Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) this academic year to address the challenges listed above.

Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)

Budgeted cost: £12000

| Activity | Evidence that supports this approach | Challenge number(s) addressed |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|
| Continue with the use of a DfE validated Systematic Synthetic Phonics programme to secure stronger phonics and early reading teaching for all pupils. We will fund teacher release time and access to the portal to support staff CPD in this area. | Phonics approaches have a strong evidence base that indicates a positive impact on the accuracy of word reading (though not necessarily comprehension), particularly for disadvantaged pupils: Phonics Toolkit Strand Education Endowment Foundation EEF | 1,2,4 |
| Enhancement of our maths teaching and curriculum planning in line with DfE and EEF guidance. We will fund teacher release time to embed key elements of guidance in school and to access Maths Hub resources and CPD (including Embedding Mastery training and Mastering number whole class intervention). | The DfE non-statutory guidance has been produced in conjunction with the National Centre for Excellence in the Teaching of Mathematics, drawing on evidence-based approaches: Maths guidance KS 1 and 2.pdf (publishing.service.gov.uk) The EEF guidance is based on a range of the best available evidence: Improving Mathematics in Key Stages 2 and 3 | 3,4 |
| Improve the quality and quantity of social and emotional (SEL) learning through PSHE and cross curricularlessons. SEL approaches will be embedded into routine educational practices and supported by professional development and training for staff. | There is extensive evidence associating childhood social and emotional skills with improved outcomes at school and in later life (e.g., improved academic performance, attitudes, behaviour and relationships with peers): EEF_Social_and_Emotional_Learning.pdf(educationendowmentfoundation.or g.uk) | 4,5 |

Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support structured interventions)

Budgeted cost: £6021.40

| Activity | Evidence that supports this approach | Challenge number(s) addressed |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| Additional phonics sessions targeted at disadvantaged pupils who require further phonics support. This will be delivered in collaboration with our local English hub. | Phonics approaches have a strong evidence base indicating a positive impact on pupils, particularly from disadvantaged backgrounds. Targeted phonics interventions have been shown to be more effective when delivered as regular sessions over a period up to 12 weeks: Phonics Toolkit Strand Education Endowment Foundation EEF | 1,2,4,5 |
| Engaging with the National Tutoring Programme to provide school-led tutoring for pupils whose education has been most impacted by the pandemic. A significant proportion of the pupils who receive tutoring will be disadvantaged, including those who are high attainers. | Tuition targeted at specific needs and knowledge gaps can be an effective method to support low attaining pupils or those falling behind, both one-to-one: One to one tuition EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) And in small groups: Small group tuition Toolkit Strand Education Endowment Foundation EEF | 2 |
| Providing individualised programmes for identified learners to | Research shows that individualised programmes for identified learners targeted at | 1,2,3,4,5 |

| support individual needs together with the resources to embed this. | their individual needs supports good pro- gress as well as raising their self-esteem based around successful achievement. | |
|---|---|--|
| | https://educationendowmentfounda- tion.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching- learning-toolkit/individualised-instruction | |

Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

Budgeted cost: £3000

| Activity | Evidence that supports this approach | Challenge number(s) addressed |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| Provide ELSA interventions to targeted pupils to support individuals with anxiety based difficulties. Interventions will last for a period of approximately 6 sessions. Emergency slots will also be made available for any children/families in crisis situations. | There is extensive evidence associating childhood social and emotional skills with improved outcomes at school and in later life (e.g., improved academic performance, attitudes, behaviour and relationships with peers): EEF Social and Emotional L earning.pdf(educationendowme ntfoundation.org.uk) https://educationendowmentfou ndation.org.uk/educationeudowmentfou ndationeudowmentfou ndationeudowmentfou ndationeudoweudoweudoweudoweudoweudoweudoweudow | 4,5 |
| Providing financial support to allow attendance at wider curricular events/activities eg residential, trips and clubs. | Based extensive evidence and on the needs of our individual families we have identified that financial support will allow disadvantaged children the opportunity to access the school's wider education offer. https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/educationedownentfoundolkit/extending-school-timehttps://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/educationendownentfoundationendownentfoundationendownentfoundationendownentfoundationendownentfoundationendownentfoundationendownentfoundationendownentfoundationendownentfoundationendownentfoundationendownentfoundationendownentfoundationendownentfoundationendow | 4,5 |

| | evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/arts-participation https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/physical-activity | |
|------------------------------------|--|-----|
| Contingency fund for acute issues. | Based on our experiences and those of similar schools to ours, we have identified a need to set a small amount of funding aside to respond quickly to needs that have not yet been identified. | All |

Total budgeted cost: £21021.40

Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

Pupil premium strategy outcomes

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 2022 to 2023 academic year.

We have analysed the performance of our school's disadvantaged pupils during the 2022/23 academic year using key stage 1 and 2 performance data, phonics check results and our own internal assessments. These groups are both small and there are minimal numbers of Pupil Premium children in these classes.

Our assessment of the reasons for these outcomes points primarily to Covid-19 impact, which disrupted all our subject areas to varying degrees. As evidenced in schools across the country, school closure was detrimental to our disadvantaged pupils, and they were not able to benefit from our pupil premium funded improvements to teaching and targeted interventions to the degree we had intended. The impact was mitigated by our resolution to offer key worker and vulnerable children provision and to maintain a high-quality curriculum, including during periods of partial closure, which was aided by use of online resources such as those provided by Oak National Academy. Our catchup programme had more impact on UKS2 pupils with SATs showing 100% good or accelerated progress for all disadvantaged pupils.

Our second reason for these outcomes is due to the higher than average numbers of pupils with SEND in years 2 and 6. Despite many types of interventions and specialist support most pupils were able to make good progress in all areas but not enough to meet Age Related Expectations for their year group.

These results mean that we are not at present on course to achieve all of the outcomes that we set out to achieve by 2024/25 across the curriculum, as stated in the intended outcomes section above. We have therefore reviewed our strategy plan and made changes to how we intend to use some of our budget this academic year, as set out in the Activity in This Academic Year section above.

Our assessments and observations indicated that pupil's learning behaviours, wellbeing and mental health were significantly impacted in previous years, primarily due to COVID-19-related issues. The impact was particularly acute for disadvantaged pupils. We used pupil premium funding to provide wellbeing support for all pupils, and targeted interventions where required. These resulted in fewer pupils being referred as the year progressed, less anxiety in households and easier to resolve issues arising. We are building on that approach with the activities detailed in this plan.

Externally provided programmes

| Programme | Provider | |
|--------------|--------------|--|
| Numberstacks | James Aylott | |
| | | |

Service pupil premium funding (optional)

| Measure | Details |
|--|---------|
| How did you spend your service pupil premium allocation last academic year? | |
| What was the impact of that spending on service pupil premium eligible pupils? | |

Further information (optional)

Additional activity

Our pupil premium strategy will be supplemented by additional activity that is not being funded by pupil premium or recovery premium. That will include:

- embedding more effective practice around feedback. <u>EEF evidence</u> demonstrates this has significant benefits for pupils, particularly disadvantaged pupils.
- utilising a <u>DfE grant to train a senior mental health lead</u>. The training we selected focuses on the training needs identified through the online tool: to develop our understanding of our pupils' needs, give pupils a voice in how we address wellbeing, and support more effective collaboration with parents.
- offering a range of high-quality extracurricular activities to boost wellbeing, behaviour, attendance, and aspiration. Activities will focus on building life skills such as confidence, resilience, and socialising. Disadvantaged pupils will be encouraged and supported to participate.

Planning, implementation, and evaluation

In planning our new pupil premium strategy, we evaluated why activity undertaken in previous years had not had the degree of impact that we had expected. We also completed a pupil premium review to gain perspective from all stakeholders. This was conducted under the direction of an external advisor.

We triangulated evidence from multiple sources of data including assessments, engagement in class book scrutiny, conversations with parents, students and teachers in order to identify the challenges faced by disadvantaged pupils. In future years we will also used the EEF's families of schools database to view the performance of disadvantaged pupils in schools similar to ours.

We looked at a number of reports, studies and research papers about effective use of pupil premium, the impact of disadvantage on education outcomes and how to address challenges to learning presented by socio-economic disadvantage. We also looked at studies about the impact of the pandemic on disadvantaged pupils.

We used the <u>EEF's implementation guidance</u> to help us develop our strategy, particularly the 'explore' phase to help us diagnose specific pupil needs and work out which activities and approaches are likely to work in our school. We will continue to use it through the implementation of activities.

We have put a robust evaluation framework in place for the duration of our three-year approach and will adjust our plan over time to secure better outcomes for pupils.

| Numberstacks Levels of progress per child in 2021/22 | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------|--|--|
| >5 levels | 5-10 levels | 10-15 levels | 15-20 levels | 20+ levels | | |
| 0 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 4 | | |